


A BIOMATHEMATICAL ETHICS PRIMER WORKBOOK OR,

A FILL-IN-THE-BLANK, DO-OVER BOOK

by Edmond Maurice Peyroux, A.S.



©COPYLEFT 2021 HUNGRY DOG PRESS V.O.O.0.1d THIS IS A WORK OF EXPERIMENTAL & ABSTRACT MATHEMATICAL EXPRESSION, A NOVELTY. IT HAS NOT

BEEN PEER REVIEWED. Vector graphics generated with Inkscape freeware except where otherwise noted.

PDF available on LinkedIn

SVG files available from the author on request

TABLE OF CONTENTS


PAGE 5 TESLA IN THE AETHER TIMELINE
b∀ee ¢ PERIODIC TREE
SHELIUM GROUPS IN KRAFFT'S MODEL, WE'LL SHERE. SAGE 3 SINCE EVERYTHING IS MADE OF HYDROGEN
YOU CAN PERFORM. YOU MAY ALREADY IMAGINE A FEW OPERATIONS
PAGE 1 CAN YOU TEACH CHEMISTRY TO KINDERGARTEN?
DAGE i INTRODUCTION
NSIDE COVERINDICIA
NSIDE COVER TABLE OF CONTENTS
DOES IT TAKE TO GET TO YOUR BIOLOGICAL CORE?

CLUTTERED AND/OR CONFUSING, FIX YOUR DESIGN."

OVERLOAD, JUST BAD DESIGN. IF SOMETHING IS
"THERE IS NO SUCH THING AS INFORMATION
"THE IS NO SUCH THING AS INFORMATION
"THERE IS NO SUCH THING AS INFORMATION
"THE IS NO SUCH THING AS INFORMATI

COBALEFT 2021 EDMOND MAURICE PEYROUX

THE COSMOS IS MADE OF HEARTS AND BUTTERFLIES AND KISSES.






TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page 01 - Preface

Page 02 - Proem

Page 03 - Prolegomenon

Page 04 - Compassion & Stability

Page 06 - Understanding

Page 08 - Tensegrity

Page 14 - Moral Hypersphere

Page 16 - More Measures

Page 18 - Exercises

Page 20 - Cut-Out Copies

Page 22 - Afterword

Page 23 - Appendix

Page 24 - Index Notes

Page 25 - Glossary Bibliography

Page 26 - About the Author(s)

(PREFACE)

THE MATH PROJECT STARTED FOUR SUMMERS AGO, IN MID TO LATE 2017, THEN GOT SHELVED UNTIL THIS PAST HOLIDAY SEASON, 2020, WHEN THE AUTHOR DECIDED TO WRITE IT INTO A BOOK.

IT'S VERY LATE FOR CHRISTMAS 2020 BUT HOPEFULLY EARLY ENOUGH FOR VALENTINE'S DAY 2021.

IT DEVELOPS SPONTANEOUSLY, A SYNTHESIS OF WORKS & INSPIRATION.

BEST INSPIRATION OFTEN COMES FROM WORK, & MANY PARTS OF THE FOLLOWING MAY BE REGARDED AS DERIVED MATH. IT REMAINS, AS ORIGINAL AS YOU, THE READER, MAKE IT.

ROBERT AXELROD'S STUDIES IN COOPERATION & COMPLEXITY WERE MY STARTING POINT, & OBSERVANT READERS MAY NOTE HIS CONTRIBUTION TO UNDERSTANDING SPECIATION (REPLICATION, VARIATION, INTERACTION, & SELECTION) MIMICKED HEREIN, HOWEVER, IT'S HIS EARLIER WORK WITH RECIPROCAL ALTRUISM & EVOLUTIONARILY STABLE STRATEGY THAT FOUNDS OUR BASIS HERE.





13 STEPS OF ETHICAL DILEMMA RECOVERY
1. We admitted we have personal power in our dilemma.

- 2. Accepted that a moral compass can restore sanity,
- 3. Devoted ourselves to moral concepts,
- 4. Took a moral inventory,
- 5. Admitted we were wrong,
- 6. Prepared to correct ourselves,
- 7. Humbly corrected ourselves,
- 8. Made a list of all harmed by such previous moral failure and became willing to make amends.
- 9. Made direct amends wherever possible except when to do so would cause more harm,
- 10. Continued to take inventory and to admit when we're wrong,
- 11. Sought to improve our moral compass,
- 12. Shared our moral compass with others,
- 13. Repeated step 1 and continued, reworking all thirteeen steps, one day at a time.




INFLUENCED BY ROBERT AXELROD'S FINDING OF GENEROSITY AS SECOND MOST EVOLUTIONARILY STABLE STRATEGY (ESS),* WE REFERENCE GAY BRADSHAW'S "BIOLOGY OF FORGIVENESS" HEAVILY.

THE #1 ESS, THE ONLY TO BEAT GENEROSITY, THAT BEING CONTRITION, ADMITTING WRONG, ACCEPTING PENALTY, COMPRISES THE BULK OF THE NOTORIOUS 12 STEPS OF RECOVERY, SPECIFICALLY STEPS 5 & 10, BUT ALSO IMPLIED IN ALL STEPS PRECEDING & FOLLOWING, WITH ULTIMATE GOAL, RESTITUTION (SPECIFICALLY, STEP 9, BUT, AGAIN, IMPLIED THROUGHOUT).

BESIDES THAT BRADSHAW GIVES US FORGIVENESS IN 3 STEPS, THE TRADITIONAL 12 STEPS RESTITUTION BEGINS WITH ADMITTING POWERLESSNESS. FOR OUR BIOMATHEMATICAL PURPOSES, STARTING, RATHER, WITH ADMITTING PERSONAL POWER GROUNDS US BETTER IN OUR WORK BECAUSE THE FORMULA FOR POWER IS WORK DONE OVER TIME.**

WE ARE NOT POWERLESS HERE; FOR ONE THING, WE CAN READ & WRITE. THE 12 STEPS OF RECOVERY HAVE BEEN REWRITTEN MANY TIMES, AS NEEDED, & WE CONTINUE THE TRADITION HERE AT LEFT.

*AMONG GROUPS OF STRATEGIES COMPETING TO BENEFIT MOST FROM COOPERATION WHILE COPING WITH RANDOM ERROR (SYSTEM NOISE), GENEROUS & CONTRITE STRATEGIES ARE IN THE MOST ROBUST GROUP OF STRATEGIES, TIT-FOR-TAT.



INNOVITIVE WITH OBGONOMETBY

EVEN, KRAFFT'S TECHNICAL DIAGRAMS ARE A LOT TO DRAW ON A CHALKBOARD

CONCEPTS FROM WHICH OTHERS ARE BUILT.

EXPERIMENTS FRE CHEAP TO REPRODUCE, IF ONLY TO DEMONSTRATE BASE CALCULUS REQUIRING YEARS TO STUDY, BUT SMOKE RING & OTHER TOROID LIKEWISE, CARL KRAFFT'S ATOMIC VORTEX LATTICE MODEL INVOLVES

MONITO LEACH TO RECOGNIZE TRIANGLES, RECTANGLES, CIRCLES.

**ROUND TEACH TO RECOGNIZE TRIANGLES, RECTANGLES, CIRCLES.

SCHRODINGER

SCHRODINGER

SCHRODINGER

SCHRODINGER

**ROUND TEACH TO RECOGNIZE TRIANGLES, RECTANGLES, CIRCLES.

SCHRODINGER

**SCHRODINGER*


ITS EXPRESSION IS EASIER TO SIMPLIFY.

PDVANCED LEVELS OF CHEMISTRY, SUCCEED?
WHY THEN, WOULD THE KRAFFT MODEL,

NOT IF YOU USE THE BOHR OR SCHRÖDINGER MODELS?

CAN YOU TEACH CHEMISTRY TO KINDERGARTEN?

^{**} POWER = (WORK DONE) / TIME



YOU MAY ALREADY IMAGINE A FEW OPERATIONS WHICH YOU CAN PERFORM.

PROLEGOMENON



AFTER SO MANY STEPS TO RESTITUTION (CONTRITION), FORGIVENESS (GENEROSITY) IS SHORT AND SIMPLE:

BRADSHAW'S 3 STEPS OF FORGIVENESS 1. PERCEIVE TRANSGRESSION.

2. RECOGNIZE PREEXISTING RELATIONSHIP ELSEWHERE IN THE BOOK IT ACTUALLY

3. INITIATE SELF-REPAIR PROCESS.

NOTICE, IT DOESN'T SAY MAKE NICE. ELSEWHERE IN THE BOOK, IT ACTUALLY READS SPECIFICALLY,

"RECONCILIATION REQUIRES MUTUAL PARTICIPATION WHEREAS FORGIVENESS REQUIRES ONLY ONE PERSON."

MORE PARAPHRASE FROM BRADSHAW'S BIOLOGY OF FORGIVENESS" TO INFORM OUR MORAL COMPASS MAY INCLUDE,

Compassion in abundance helps the healing process.

Coddling provides
psychological inoculation
against future trauma.

Dwelling on past transgressions distracts from present awareness of very real in-the-moment threats and predators.

There are many moral compasses out there:

Let your conscience be your guide; follow your heart; go with God.

We, any, may have found ourselves lacking conscience, having lost our heart, feeling abandoned by our God, lost faith in our God, or never had a God to begin with.

The following moral compass is pretty simple.

It may be less accurate than a lodestone in the hands of someone untrained in radiesthesia, but I've found that playing against the strategies imbued within it ensures a losing game.


When you have a moral obligation, use your moral compass to find the moral high ground, moral authority to take the moral high ground, and moral support to keep the moral high ground. This works in an ethical dilemma too.



COMPASSION & STABILITY



CHAPTER ONE




AXELROD'S WORK ALREADY STARTS WITH ETHICS & BIOMATHEMATICS BUT GENEROUS & CONRTITE ESS WERE ARRIVED AT HEURISTICALLY; ENCOMPASSED WITH ETHICAL PRINCIPLES OF COMPASSION, WIN-WIN & GOLDEN RULE, THEY REMINDED ME OF THE POLITICAL COMPASS*, POLITICAL LEFT, RIGHT, NORTH & SOUTH.

AS I BEGAN TO FILL IN THE BLANKS, OTHER RELATIONSHIPS BECAME CLEAR.

DISCLAIMER: TO THOSE WHO ALREADY HAVE A CONSCIENCE & DON'T NEED THIS MORAL COMPASS FOR THEMSELVES, IF THIS STUFF COMES EASILY TO YOU, PLEASE DON'T GET BORED: RATHER, ENJOY; THERE ARE EXERCISES IN THE BACK WHERE YOU TOO CAN TAKE A MOMENT TO FILL IN THE BLANKS. WE MAY ALL BENEFIT FROM YOUR INPUT & AS A WORK OF COPYLEFT CREATIVE COMMONS, THIS BOOK GRANTS YOU DISCRETIONARY LICENSE.

*politicalcompass.org



HIS SASTEM, SO WE'LL GO HIM ONE OR TWO FURTHER.

KRAFFT'S MODEL, WE'LL SIMPLIFY FROM THERE. NOTE THAT KRAFFT


SINCE EVRYTHING IS MADE OF HYDROGEN AND HELIUM GROUPS IN

SEE THE INTERNET
DATABASE OF PERIODIC
TABLES FOR MORE IDEAS
ON HOW TO PLOT
ISOTOPES IN 3-D AS
TREE RINGS
TREE RINGS
ON A SPIRAL
ON A SPIRAL THIS IS A 7 RO CANOPY database 0 I S ACCURATE ₹ SUB-ATOMIC PARTICLES GO HERE. KRAFFT NUMBER 1.5, ATOMIC WEIGHT 3) IN LINE ر Ra \subset /ಬ/ಔ/ළ` SKETCH, THE PERIODIC TABLE AS A BRANCHING EXPRESSION OF CHEMICAL RELATIONSHIPS. $\boldsymbol{\omega}$ M ري َ 뗮 공 ≥ Ç ð ∄ Be ĘS Ŋ Ħ 4 Ы $\overline{\mathbf{c}}$ \circ 2 굯 င္ပ ア Re Б 쭈 T ر ر ACTUALLY HAD HYBRIDIUM & WITH LITHIUM, SODIUM & ð В Ga KRAFF Ŧ, S Ø, gS \circ Sn ъ S PERIODIC CENTERS A Ď, ᇦ Br \mathcal{O} ð ₾, ري اهر 3, AROUND 잗 TABLE Ne Re MORE OF A
DOODLE THAN
A SKETCH, IT
SERVES TO
ILLUSTRATE
HOW
ELEMENTS
FORM BY A
PROCESS OF
AGGREGATION. 롲 (Hy, ATOMIC POTASSIUM. 工 Š 7 Z D MELTING POINT. H_e

TREE,

NOT

0




UNDERSTANDING

CHAPTER TWO

THINKING OF THE POLITICAL COMPASS, HOW SCHEMES WIN-WIN & GOLDEN RULE RESEMBLE **AUTHORITARIAN NORTH &** LIBERTARIAN SOUTH, GENEROUS & CONTRITE, LIBERAL LEFT & CONSERVATIVE RIGHT, I WENT BACK TO AN OLDER IDEA I'D HAD, OF ADDING A THIRD DIMENSION OF CENTRALIZATION, MAKING A

AFFECTION

WIN-WIN



POLITICAL GLOBE, WITH **GOLDEN RULE** GLOBALISM AT ONE POLE, FEDERALISM AT THE

COMFORT

OTHER, & NATIONALISM AT THE EQUATOR.* WHILE THE POLITICAL COMPASS USES SOCIAL & FISCAL DIMENSIONS, I USE MORALISTIC DIMENSIONS HERE,

COMPASSION, STABILITY, UNDERSTANDING. **SURRENDER**


AS YOU CAN SEE, ADDING ONE DIMENSION OPENS EIGHT NEW BLANKS TO FILL IN;


THE ADDITION OF ONE SIMPLE **ELEMENT CREATES GREATER** COMPLEXITY AND NEW ELEMENTS.


> WE WILL OBSERVE THIS PHENOMENON AGAIN IN THE FOLLOWING CHAPTERS.

CAUTION **LAWFULNESS** SINCE EXTENDED EQUATOR, CONTROL POPULISM, **GENEROUS** IDIOCY, THE OTHER

GOLDEN RULE







ABOUT THE AUTHOR(S)



(YOUR NAME HERE)


EDMOND MAURICE PEYROUX TOLERATES BEING CALLED "MOE" BUT PREFERS "NED" & ASKS THAT PEOPLE CALLING HIM "EDMOND" RATHER CALL HIM "EDMOND MAURICE"; HAS BEEN PUBLISHING COMIX FROM PHOTOCOPY MACHINES AROUND THE WESTERN HEMISPHERE SINCE HE WAS 17 YEARS OLD IN 1994; HAS WRITTEN OTHER BOOKS & OTHER NON-FICTION, BUT THIS IS HIS FIRST NON-FICTION BOOK; ALSO WRITES FICTION, MOSTLY SATIRE, USING THE PEN NAME, ALIAS: ORSON NED, CARTOONIST @ LARGE. NANOO, NANOO.


SCOTT MYERS, SEE INDEX.

ONE OF NED'S BUDDIES, WHO CHOOSES ANONYMITY, SUGGESTED THAT NED MUST BE VERY PROUD OF WRITING THIS BOOK, HE ASKED, "PROUD? [FROM] THE SEVEN DEADLY SINS? ME? ... IT'S STILL IN ITS FIRST ROUGH DRAFT BUT IT POINTS DIRECTLY TO BEING MEEK AND HUMBLE AS EVOLUTIONARILY STABLE STRATEGY. IF YOU CAN FIND A PLACE FOR PRIDE ... PLEASE SCRIBBLE IT IN AND SHOW ME, MAYBE IN ANOTHER DIMENSION? MAYBE IT'S BEING GENEROUS IN A DIMENSION OF VALUE, MORE OR LESS, ... NEAR THE MORE POLE? VALUE IS AN INTEGRAL CONCEPT IN ETHICS. GOOD CALL."




TELL US MORE ABOUT YOURSELF; USE AN EXTRA SHEET OF PAPER IF NECESSARY:






I ASKED MY FRIEND SCOTT, WHO WORKED WITH BUCKY FULLER & HAS LECTURED ON HIS LIFE & WORKS, TO WRITE A COUPLE OF PARAGRAPHS ON TENSEGRITY. HE WROTE BACK:



YOU GET WHAT YOU PAY FOR, AND IN FUTURE EDITIONS, I WILL SEE IF A "SEND CHECKS PAYABLE TO SCOTT" BLANK CAN BE FILLED IN. IN THE MEANTIME, I HAVE A FEW WORDS OF MY OWN TO SHARE ABOUT TENSEGRITY & WITH ANY LUCK, SCOTT, OR BETTER YET, ONE OF YOU READERS WILL CORRECT ME.

BEFORE I DO, I'D LIKE TO REVISIT MY EARLY MISTAKES, TO SHARE HOW I LEARNED FROM THEM. I STARTED WITH NEITHER AN AXIS OF COMPASSION NOR OF STABILITY, ONLY THE IMAGE OF A COMPASS, & BLANKS TO FILL.



GLOSSARY BIBLIOGRAPHY CHARGE PROJECT & REQUIRED READING

MANY OF THE BEST REFERENCE BOOKS HAVE GLOSSARIES, SO THE AUTHOR-EDITOR HAS DECIDED TO RECOMMEND A BIBLIOGRAPHY OF GLOSSARIES, RATHER, DICTIONARIES OF USAGE & ETYMOLOGY, & LET THE READER FILL IN THE BLANKS. A CONVENTIONAL GLOSSARY MAY BE REQUIRED FOR A FIRST EDITION, BUT WHILE WE'RE STILL IN EARLY DRAFTING STAGES, THIS IS YOUR WELCOME TO COPYLEFT & CREATIVE COMMONS.

ALSO, A LOT OF THIS MAY GO RIGHT OVER YOUR HEAD EVEN IF MUCH ELSE OF THIS COMES TO YOU NATURALLY, ESPECIALLY MATH JOKES THAT RELY ON FORMULA, BECAUSE THE FORMULA IS OBVIOUS ONLY TO ONE WHO KNOWS IT, THEREFORE SOME FURTHER READING MAY BE REQUIRED OF YOU IN ORDER TO MAKE MORE SENSE OF THIS WORK.

PLUS, SOME WORKS CITED WITHIN THE TEXT MAY BE MORE OBSCURE (ORGONOMETRY, eg.) & HARD TO FIND WITHOUT DIRECTION FROM THE EDITOR. WRITE IN THE NAMES OF BOOKS FROM THE TEXT THAT YOU WANT TO READ HERE & I'LL ADD A FEW THAT YOU WON'T FIND SO EASILY AT THE BOTTOM.

DICTIONARIES OF USAGE
PRINT

FOWLER'S

FOLLETT'S

GARNER'S

ONLINE

WORDOLA.COM

"THE BIOLOGY OF FORGIVENESS" IS A CHAPTER IN G.A. BRADSHAW, PH.D, PH.D, WHAT ANIMALS TEACH US ABOUT HUMANITY.

ALL REFERENCES TO ROBERT AXELROD'S WORKS STEM FROM HIS THREE BEST-KNOWN BOOKS, EVOLUTION OF COOPERATION, COMPLEXITY OF COOPERATION, & HARNESSING COMPLEXITY.

DISCOVERY OF THE ORGONE, VOLUME THREE: ORGONOMETRY, WAS NEVER PUBLISHED IN ONE VOLUME. THE READER, RESEARCHER & CONTRIBUTOR MAY FIND IT PUBLISHED IN PARTS IN THE JOURNAL ORGONOMIC FUNCTIONALISM & THE BOOK ETHER, GOD AND DEVIL, OR IN THE ORIGINAL JOURNALS PUBLISHED DURING WILHELM REICH'S LIFETIME, AVAILABLE IN FACSIMILE FROM THE WILHELM REICH MUSEUM.

www.wilhelmreichmuseum.org/store

DICTIONARIES OF
ETYMOLOGY
PRINT
OXFORD
ONLINE
ETYMONLINE.COM



INDEX NOTES

sanitation n 14

& WHY THEY'RE INCORPORATED

& WHY THEY'RE OUT OF ORDER FOR THAT MATTER, TO PREPARE THE READER FOR THE NEXT PAGE, & THE PROJECT OF RECONSTRUCTING YOUR OWN MORAL COMPASS TO SHARE, WE LEAVE PLENTY OF FILL-IN-THE-BLANK DO-OVER SPACE HERE AT THE END, AS PROMISED. INDICES & NOTES ARE A GREAT TOOL OF REFERENCE BUT RARELY DO YOU FIND THEM IN A ROUGH DRAFT, SO, ENJOY!.

I'LL GET YOU STARTED BUILDING THE INDEX & YOU CAN ALSO COPY NOTES FROM THE DIFFERENT PAGES THROUGHOUT THE BOOK THAT HAD NOTES (MARKED BY ASTERISKS AND SMALLER TEXT FONTS, ETC.).


			oaao p. 2 .
		Piesk, Tilman see Watchduck	
dogma p. 7			
forgiveness pp. 2, 3, 14, 23			Watchduck Pages 13 & 21
	Myers, Sc Pages 8 & 10	6	
	_ _ _ _		

TENSEGRITY CHAPTER THREE

UNTIL ANYONE CORRECTS ME, LET'S PLAY PRETEND THAT MY WORDS ABOUT TENSEGRITY BEAR MERIT. RETRODUCTIVE REASONING REQUIRES SUSPENDED JUDGEMENT.

BY INTRODUCING HIS CONCEPT OF TENSION-INTEGRITY (TENSEGRITY), BUCKY FULLER DEMONSTRATED FOUR DIMENSIONS OF TENSEGRITY OBLIQUE TO THE THREE PERPENDICULAR DIMENSIONS WE KNOW COMMONLY.

BASICALLY, WE'RE LOOKING AT THE FOUR DIAGONALS OF A CUBE, BUT I WAS REMINDED OF THEM LOOKING AT THE EMPTY SPACES ON THE MORAL SPHERE OF THE PREVIOUS CHAPTER.



TENSEGRITY IS A CONCEPT USED IN STRUCTURAL ENGINEERING, APPLIED MATH BEFORE ABSTRACT MATH, YET HERE IS A CHANCE TO TEST THE CONCEPT IN THE MOST ABSTRACT SENSE.

HERE'S THE WORD ABOUT TENSEGRITY THAT I POSIT, BUT CAN'T PROVE, SO I WON'T SPEND TOO MUCH SPACE ON IT, YET WE'LL FIND USE IN THE CONCEPT LATER, UNTIL IT IS DISPROVEN.


IN TERMS OF A SPACE, WE THINK OF A MATHEMATICAL POINT AS OCCUPYING THE LEAST, BUT FOR STRUCTURAL ENGINEERING, WE'RE GOING TO USE A KNOT.

LET'S PLAY PRETEND.



VIRTUE

ARBITRARILY, WE'LL CALL THE POINT, OR KNOT, VIRTUE.




THE POINT HAS ZERO DIMENSIONS OF SPACE BUT THE KNOT NEEDS A DIMENSION OF TENSEGRITY TO HOLD IT TOGETHER. THE DIMENSIONS OF TENSEGRITY REQUIRED TO SUPPORT A SPACE OF n DIMENSIONS ARE n+1.


THAT'S THE GIMMICK.

MORALITY





AT THIS POINT, WE CAN STOP CALLING THEM DIMENSIONS & START CALLING THEM AXES, IF THAT HELPS TO SIMPLIFY. IT CERTAINLY SAVES ME TYPING. THE WORD "DIMENSION" IS JUST ANOTHER FANCY WORD FOR "MEASURE." THE WORD "AXIS" GIVES US THE NOTION OF SUPPORTING SOMETHING DYNAMIC.



APPENDIX ON BRADSHAW & THE LAW

AN EASY EXAMPLE OF DIFFERENCE BETWEEN LAW & ETHICS, & VICE VERSA, IS IN THE CONCEPT OF FRAUD: IN LAW, FRAUD IMPLIES WILLFUL INTENT TO DECEIVE, BUT IN ETHICS, IT'S MERELY LACK OF FULLY INFORMED CONSENT; LIKEWISE, LEGAL CONSENT IS OFTEN NOT ETHICAL CONSENT, AS STANDARDS VARY, WHY DO WE NEED ETHICS WHEN WE HAVE LAWS? ETHICS OFTEN HAVE HIGHER STANDARDS OF INTEGRITY THAN LAWS: THEY'RE HARDER TO MEET, & THERE'S NO LEGAL COMPULSION, SO WHY BOTHER? I'LL LET BRADSHAW (WITH HELP FROM FELICITY DE ZULUETA) ANSWER:

"Abuse, neglect, and traumatic attachment lead to a loss of ability to regulate intense feelings, producing asocial behaviors."

WE LIVE IN THIS WORLD. WHEN LAW FAILS, WE NEED ETHICS. WHY DO PIZZA DELIVERIES ARRIVE FASTER 9-1-1 RESPONDERS? PEOPLE PAY FOR DELIVERY; THEY SHOOT AT POLICE?

BRADSHAW TELLS US:

...BUT NOT BY AXELROD'S ◀ **DEFINITION. IT'S** LESS OF A CASE OF FORGIVENESS BEING MORE A LIABILITY, IN BRADSHAW'S OWN WORDS, & MORE A REMINDER: DON'T PLAY WITH CHEATERS; TAKE YOUR MARBLES & GO HOME.

"Evolutionary theory predicts that the extensive hostility and persistent threat that humanity poses today may render prosociality and forgiveness more of a liability than an advantage. Social considerations may lose their utility and meaning in societies that are broken up, under chronic stress and threat."


"Neuropsychologists maintain that prosocial, forgiving behavior has evolutionary advantages for highly social species..."

AND,

"Forgiveness, in its broadest definition, represents a pledge to the future."

THERE ARE ONLY THREE LAWS OF ETHICS: 3. DON'T LIE. 2. DON'T STEAL. 1. DON'T HARM. THIS IS ANCIENT WISDOM HANDED DOWN. YOU CAN LOOK UP FINER DETAIL, BUT CLEAR ENOUGH FOR the relationship. THIS VOLUME.

UNABRIDGED STEPS OF FORGIVENESS: Forgiveness requires three steps, each of which presupposes a sense of self. First the injured party must perceive a transgression against himself. Second, the party who has sustained injury must recognize a preexisting relationship with the transgressor. Third, the injured party must initiate a process of self-repair, a ABRIDGED LAWS ARE perceptual, emotional, and behavioral readjustment rendered through the medium of



AFTERWORD

& ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

AFTER READING ALL THIS, ONE MIGHT ASK, WHERE DO YOU COME UP WITH ALL THIS STUFF?? NORMALLY ONE EXPECTS TO READ

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS AT THE FRONT OF THE BOOK, BUT THERE WAS NO SPACE IN THIS PRESENT VOLUME.

LEAVE IT TO SAY THAT NON-FICTION COMIX & SELF-PUBLISHED COMIX HAD THEIR HEYDAY SOME TWENTY TO THIRTY YEARS AGO, AND AS SUCH, AMONG THE TRENDSETTERS WHO CREATED THE NICHE THAT THIS BOOK FILLS, COME FIRST SCOTT MCCLOUD & DAVE SIM, & BEFORE THEM, WILL EISNER.

AS FOR COPYLEFT, THERE ARE TOO MANY TO NAME, & THE AUTHOR IS TOO IGNORANT OF THE HISTORY OF COPYLEFT TO BEGIN.

I ALREADY MENTIONED EDWARD TUFTE ONCE, BUT LIKE BRADSHAW & AXELROD, HE'S WORTH REVISITING.

THE BIBLIOGRAPHY WILL NEITHER
BE WHAT ONE MIGHT EXPECT, NOR ETHICS MORALITY

THE GLOSSARY, NOTES, NOR INDEX.



BUT THEY'RE
NOT THE SAME.
WE ARRIVE AT
THEM
DIFFERENTLY &
APPLY THEM
DIFFERENTLY, AS
WE'LL NOTE
BRIEFLY IN THE
VIRTUE

AT THE OPPOSITE END OF THE SPECTRUM, ARE WRITERS ON ETHICS, MORALITY & LAW, JOHN C. MAXWELL, RICHARD A. WASSERSTROM, & MATHEMATICS FOR THE NON-MATHEMATICIAN, MORRIS KLINE.

WE LOOKED AT FULLER'S
TENSEGRITY, REICH'S (&
QUIGLEY'S) DEVELOPMENT,
MY EXPANSION OF THE
POLITICAL COMPASS,
MANY DIFFERENT WAYS
OF SCRUTINIZING &
EVALUATING SUCH
CONCEPTS.

ETHICS LAW

LAW 1



OR MAYBE ETHICS & MORALITY STEM FROM VIRTUE, &

HAVE TENSEGRITY, SHARE

LAW & TRADITION
ARE MERELY
EXTENSIONS.
EITHER WAY, THE CONCEPTS

COMMONALITY IN

DEVELOPMENT.

FROM APPLI MISTA

TENSEGRITY

PURITY

WEALTH

CONTROL

MASS PROJECT

TUDDODT

MORALITY


THE DIMENSIONS OF TENSEGRITY REQUIRED TO SUPPORT A SPACE OF n DIMENSIONS ARE n+1. THAT'S THE GIMMICK.

WE DEMONSTRATED FOUR TENSEGRAL AXES OF 3-D SPACE (n+1=4), & ONE WIN-WIN

TENSEGRAL AXIS OF ZERO DIMENSIONAL SPACE (n+1=1).

TRYING n=1 (n+1=2), IT LOOKS CUTE BUT MATHEMATICAL ELEGANCE REQUIRES EQUAL PARTS AESTHETICS AND ACCURACY. HOW ACCURATE AN EXPRESSION IS IT?


SOLVING n=2 (n+1=3), EXCEPT TO SHOW TENSEGRITY FALLING WITHIN THE SAME PLANE RATHER THAN OUTSIDE THE BOUNDS OF ITS SPATIAL DIMENSION, UNLIKE n=0 OR n=1, I LEAVE TO THE READER IN THE EXERCISE §.




A MODEL'S VALUE DERIVES FROM EASE & SUCCESS OF APPLICATION. I'LL KEEP THE MISTAKE, HOPEFULLY TO LEARN FROM IT LATER. AS WE'LL SEE ON
THE FOLLOWING
PAGE, ALL FOUR
TENSEGRAL AXES
RUN OBLIQUE TO
ANY ONE
PERPENDICULAR
AXIS. I PICKED
THESE ARBITRARILY
& WILL AMEND
AFTER I REVIEW THE
WORK.

CHAPTER THREE


GENEROUS




INTEGRITY



IN A EUREKA MOMENT, I FOUND THAT THE ARCHIMEDEAN SOLID, THE RHOMBICUBOCTAHEDRON, FIT ALL THUS DESIGNATED POINTS BETWEEN PERPENDICULAR & TENSEGRAL POLES, NOW NUMBERING 26 POLES, 13 AXES TOTAL.



RATHER THAN ATTEMPT FANCY PERSPECTIVE DRAWING, I'LL CONTINUE WITH STYLIZED DIAGRAMS THAT ARE EASIER FOR YOU, THE READER, TO DUPLICATE BY HAND, SHOULD YOU TO CHOOSE TO TAKE ANY OF THIS BACK TO YOUR OWN DRAWING BOARD




DIAGRAMATIC SCHEMA

THAT CENTER ON INTEGRITY, SO YOU'LL HAVE TO FIGURE IT OUT.

CUT-OUTS

PLAYTIME!

By Watchduck (a.k.a. Tilman Piesk) - Own work, CC BY 4.0, https://commons.wikimedia.org/w/index.php?curid=66804141



(CUT-OUTS)

PLAYTIME

THIS MAP OF THE RHOMBICUBOCTAHEDRON IS WRONG, OR, AS RENE MAGRITTE EXPRESSED, CECI N'EST PAS UNE PIPE.

GET YOUR TAPE, SCISSORS & TRACING PAPER TO CORRECT IT!



WORKING
AROUND
MISTAKES &
CREATING NEW
SOLUTIONS FOR
DIFFERENT
PURPOSES.

I WILL CORRECT IT IN FUTURE EDITIONS, TO MAP NORTH & SOUTH MOST EASILY, BUT FOR NOW, IT ALSO FUNCTIONS AS A PLACEHOLDER, TO SAVE THE PAGE UNTIL THE WORK CAN BE DONE OR BEATEN BY SOME MORE USEFUL IDEA.

I DIDN'T ILLUSTRATE ALL THE TABS HERE EITHER YET BECAUSE I STILL HAVEN'T SETTLED ON THE APPROPRIATE MAP.


IF YOU WANT TO TRY DRAWING FROM SCRATCH, MAKE SURE TO HAVE A COMPASS & SQUARE.


IT'S QUITE FAST & EASY TO ADD TABS, BUT THERE'S NO SENSE DOING IT WRONG ON PURPOSE SO LONG AS ONE GETS THE IDEA & YOU CAN APPLY THE PRINCIPLE AT THE APPROPRIATE TIME.


REMEMBER TO PENCIL IN TABS, & WHERE YOU THINK EXTRA SQUARES MAY GO, BEFORE YOU START CUTTING. IF YOU WANT TO MAKE PLENTY OF EXTRA COPIES TO KEEP TRYING, PHARMACIES OFTEN HAVE THE MOST AFFORDABLE 5¢ & 10¢ PHOTOCOPIERS.




By Watchduck (a.k.a. Tilman Piesk) - Own work, CC BY 4.0, https://commons.wikimedia.org/w/index.php?curid=66804141







NOW THAT WE'VE DETERMINED WHAT TO CALL OUR AXES OF TENSEGRITY, & THEIR POLES, HOW DO WE ARRANGE THEM?
REMEMBER, THE CONCEPT OF TENSEGRITY IS, WHEN YOU PUSH ON ONE AXIS, IT PULLS ON THE OTHER AXES. THUS, THEY SUPPORT THE STRUCTURE AS A WHOLE.



I DESIGNED THESE SCHEMA TO BE EASILY DUPLICATED BY HAND, BUT OBVIOUSLY, PHOTOCOPYING IS FASTER & EASIER FOR THOSE WITH THE MEANS, & NATURALLY, THIS ARTWORK WAS RENDERED WITH INKSCAPE FREEWARE.


BEFORE YOU GO
LOOKING INTO 3-D
RENDERING
SOFTWARE TO
ACCOMMODATE 3-D
PRINTING, I URGE
YOU TO LOOK UP
EDWARD TUFTE &
VISUAL
INFORMATION
DESIGN.




EXERCISES

YOUR TURN


WE HAVE SOME UNSOLVED PUZZLES STILL. I'LL START WITH TENSEGRITY IN 4-SPACE. LET'S REVIEW. FOUR PERPENDICULAR AXES RUN OBLIQUE TO EIGHT TENSEGRAL AXES, DEFINING OUR BASIC MORAL HYPERSPHERE.




I'VE DEFINED FOUR OR FIVE OF THEM FOR THE READER, & SUGGESTED SEVERAL OTHERS, AS WELL AS DETERMINING FACTORS FOR BETTER FIT: PERPENDICULAR AXES ARE STRATEGIES, TENSEGRAL AXES, STANDARDS.



I LEAVE THE REST TO YOU. USE WHITE-OUT IF IT'S NEATER. ...





MORE MEASURES





HOW ABOUT A DIMENSION OF POTENCY (RATHER THAN POTENTIAL OR VOLTAGE, BUT NONETHELESS) DEFINED BY TENSION & PRESSURE, OR OWNERSHIP, BY PROPERTY & REPUBLIC?

SCOTT SUGGESTED A DIMENSION OF SOUL; I ASKED, WHAT ARE THE POLES, MIND & BODY, AURA & SOMA? & HOW DO THEY RELATE TO OTHER CONCEPTS, IN A DIMENSION OF RELATION, EQUALITY & INEQUALITY? SHOULD SOUL BE ONE POLE OF A DIMENSION OF ESSENCE, WITH SPIRIT AT AN OPPOSITE POLE? WHERE DOES ABSTRACTION BLEED INTO METAPHYSICS? I'M TRYING TO AVOID CONFUSION BY STAYING WITH THE KNOWN & AVOIDING THE OCCULT.

SO FAR, SQUARES (& CUBES) ARE STRATEGIES (VERBIAGE) & TRIANGLES (& TETREHEDRA) ARE PRINCIPLES (NOMENCLATURE). I TRY TO KEEP IT SIMPLE BUT ULTIMATELY IT'S UP TO THE READER TO MAKE IT WORK.

I DON'T ENVISION A DIMENSION OF EVIL, BUT WITHIN A MORAL SPHERE, WE MAY HAVE A DIMENSION OF GOOD, POLES AT WISDOM & INNOCENCE, PERHAPS.

I MIGHT ALSO POSIT A DIMENSION OF MORAL RIGHT, POLES AT ACCURACY & HONESTY, BUT NOT A DIMENSION OF WRONG, WITH SOME OTHER SUCH POLES, OR YOU COULD POSIT GOOD & EVIL, RIGHT & WRONG POLES OF AXES TENSEGRAL TO EACH OTHER BUT WHAT DO YOU CALL THE AXES THEY DEFINE? HOW DO YOU SOLVE ALL THESE NEW RELATIONS?


THE PURPOSE OF THIS BEGINNER'S MORAL COMPASS IS TO POINT TO MORAL RIGHT & MORAL GOOD, NOT THE CONTRARY, BUT YOU, THE READER, RETAIN DISCRETIONARY LICENSE IN ITS ADAPTATION TO YOUR NEEDS.



TAKE COMFORT IN THE TWO MOST ROBUST TIT-FOR-TAT STRATEGIES KNOWN, GENEROSITY AND CONTRITION: STRATEGIES WITHIN THEIR PLANES HAVE THE MOST STABILITY.

HOW ABOUT A
DIMENSION OF
NOTORIETY DEFINED
BY ACCLAIM &
PERSECUTION?
IT'S FUN TO DRAW
RELATIONS BUT
NECESSARY NOT TO
GET LOST IN ONE
MANNER OF ANALYSIS,
ONE WAY OF THINKING.





CALLING THEM DIMENSIONS OF ABSTRACTION, OR DIMENSIONS OF ANALYSIS, QUIGLEY'S LEGACY PROVIDES OPPORTUNITY TO REVIEW & EXPAND THE POLITICAL SPHERE WE DESCRIBED IN CHAPTER TWO. GET YOUR PENCILS, PAPER, ERASERS & TINKERING SPIRIT IF YOU WANT TO SEE WHAT IT COULD POSSIBLY LOOK LIKE, BECAUSE WE HAVE NO ROOM FOR IT IN THIS VOLUME. RATHER, LET US EMPLOY QUIGLEY'S METHOD TO OUR OWN MORAL COMPASS. WE CAN EQUATE POLITICAL DEVELOPMENT WITH ETHICAL EMOTION, AS WE HAVE COMPARED OTHER POLITICAL & ETHICAL CONCEPTS. WE CAN LOOK AT TIME AS A FIFTH PERPENDICULAR DIMENSION. WE CAN ALSO RATHER, DECONSTRUCT OUR OWN MODEL & LOOK AT INDIVIDUAL ELEMENTS SEPARATELY IN A NEW WAY.

GENEROUS	BACKWARD	MEDIOCRE	ADVANCED
CONTRITE	BACKWARD	MEDIOCRE	ADVANCED
TIT-FOR-TAT	BACKWARD	MEDIOCRE	ADVANCED

WE ARE NOT SIMULATING, AS DID ROBERT AXELROD, A COMPUTER MODEL IN THIS BOOK, ONLY A CONCEPTUAL MODEL. THAT IS NOT TO SAY THAT ONE CAN'T.

CONTRITE 1 2 3

DO TWO CONTRITIONS MAKE A RIGHT? HOW MANY WRONGS WERE THERE? AXELROD'S RESULTS INDICATE ONE CONTRITION PER WRONG IS MOST STABLE, BUT IN MORALITY, WE MUST CONSIDER EVERYONE, NOT JUST TWO DIRECT PARTICIPANTS IN ANY GIVEN INTERACTION.

SOME MAY CONTEND THAT GENEROUS IS ADVANCED & CONTRITE VERY ADVANCED, TIT-FOR-TAT, MEDIOCRE

